Fair Use For All and the Blurred Lines of Copyright

Brandon Butler Practitioner-in-Residence American University Washington College of Law EIFL Webinar Oct. 28, 2015

Overview

- Fair Use and Fair Dealing What they are, their popularity, and their utility
- Civil Law and Common Law their alleged differences and actual similarities
- Blurred lines the ways that civil and common law systems are similar, especially for copyright
- Fair Use is predictable and useful for libraries

Fair Use, Fair Dealing, and Open Norms in Copyright

- Open norms: flexible standards for use without permission in situations not limited by particular purpose, context, portion, number of copies, etc.
 - Contrast to a closed list of exceptions for specific purposes such as library preservation....
- Fair dealing: for certain purposes (research, private study, teaching, quotation, etc.)
- Fair use: Not a "closed list" of purposes law says purposes "such as criticism, comment," etc., leaving open possibility of other uses qualifying

Growing Enthusiasm

- More and more countries are adopting open norms, or using more flexible interpretations of existing provisions
- 40 countries, over 1/3 of the world's population, have flexible norms in their law
- This includes countries like Taiwan and Korea, with so-called "civil law" or "Roman law" traditions

The Utility of Open Norms

- Adapt to new technology the VCR, the Internet
- Adapt to new cultural practices mash-ups, blogs
- Not beholden to particular negotiated political deals - narrow exceptions extracted from powerful interests
- Weighs the interests of the public

Civil Law and Common Law

- Common law traditions (UK and former colonies) give independent legal authority to judicial decisions ("precedent"). Lower courts are bound by prior decisions of higher courts.
- Civil law traditions give primacy to the written law, or Code. Judges explain decisions by reference to the Code, and are not bound by decisions of other courts.
- Stereotypes:
 - civil law systems are highly formalized and codified in detail
 - common law systems are more broad and general; authority is delegated to judges to develop the law over time

Civil Law and Common Law

- In reality:
 - Civil law judges read each other's opinions (and the opinions of courts in other countries) and are often persuaded/influenced by them
 - Civil law judges apply broad laws to specific facts all the time

- Copyright protects expression, but not ideas in civil and common law countries
- Line between these is notoriously obscure Judge Learned Hand:
 - "Nobody has ever been able to fix that boundary, and nobody ever can."
- BUT civil law judges must do this ALL THE TIME!

- US case involving Marvin Gaye song "Got to Give It Up" and Robin Thicke/Pharrell Williams hit "Blurred Lines."
- Songs had elements in common cowbell, rhythm of bass line, sparse arrangement - but were these expressions or ideas?
- Experts disagreed, but most said "ideas"; court decided the opposite - Thicke/Pharrell had infringed! Millions of dollars on the line.

- Beyond copyright:
 - Negligence unreasonable risk of harm, etc.
 - Unfair competition
 - Even "very small text fragments" in German law how many words? Seven?

- Many civil law countries already have flexible factors in their exceptions - two steps from Berne three-step test must apply in each case where specific exceptions are applied
 - No conflict with normal exploitation of the work
 - Does not unreasonably prejudice legitimate interests of rights holder

Predictable Fair Use

- Several categories of use have now emerged as clearly fair use, and people in the US rely on them every day
 - Search engines and text mining
 - VCRs, DVRs, and time-shifting
 - Parody and criticism
 - News reporting
 - Reverse engineering
 - Excerpts for teaching

Lawsuits?

- No more common than in other parts of US law we just like to sue each other!
- In areas where fair uses are well-established, aggressive suits are treated as frivolous
- Reversals in edge cases don't prove uncertainty at core.

Fair use for libraries

- Libraries in the US use fair use to:
 - Digitize special collections
 - Support teaching and learning
 - Preserve at-risk works
 - Make works accessible to disabled people
 - Mount exhibits

More Resources

- Blog Fair use, open norms and blurred lines between common law and civil law, Brandon Butler - http:// www.eifl.net/blogs?field_programme_tid_1=303
- EIFL Draft Law on Copyright
- Fair Use/Fair Dealing Handbook (Band & Gerraffi)
- Fair Use in Europe: In Search of Flexibilities (Hugenholtz and Sentfleben)
- infojustice.org